

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/922,631	Applicant(s) APODACA ET AL.	
	Examiner Kamal A. Saeed	Art Unit 1626	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Rebecca L. Anderson.

(3) _____

(2) John W. Harbour.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 August 2006

Time: 12:25pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 100, 101, 103 and 111-114


Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed applicants' representative that claims 111-114 are improper multiple dependent claims. Applicants' representative agreed to an Examiner's amendment to delete "according to claim 103" from claims 111-114. Applicants' representative also requested that claims 100, 101, 103 and 111-114 only be dependent on claim 91, therefore, the examiner will amend claims 100, 101, 103 and 111-114 by deleting "or 99" from each of the mentioned claims..